

NOTE

ON THE STRUCTURE OF TRIANGLE-FREE GRAPHS
OF LARGE MINIMUM DEGREE

TOMASZ LUCZAK*

Received July 24, 2003

It is shown that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant L such that every triangle-free graph on n vertices with minimum degree at least $(1/3 + \varepsilon)n$ is homomorphic to a triangle-free graph on at most L vertices.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of all triangle-free graphs on n vertices labelled by natural numbers of minimum degree larger than $(1/3 + \varepsilon)n$; let also $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}_n(0)$. The family \mathcal{G}_n has been studied by a few authors (see Brandt [2] for a brief survey on results and open problems on \mathcal{G}_n). Recently, Thomassen [7] proved that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K = K(\varepsilon)$ such that the chromatic number of every $G \in \mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$ is smaller than K . On the other hand, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and N , Hajnal constructed triangle-free graphs G of minimum degree larger than $(1/3 - \varepsilon)n$ with the chromatic number $\chi(G) > N$ (see Erdős and Simonovits [4]). In this note we supplement Thomassen's theorem, setting in the affirmative a conjecture of Jin [5] (see also Question 1 in [7]). We say that a graph $H = (V, E)$ is *homomorphic* to $H' = (V', E')$ if there exists a function (homomorphism) $\psi: V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\{\psi(v), \psi(w)\} \in E'$ whenever $\{v, w\} \in E$.

Theorem 1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $L = L(\varepsilon)$ such that each $G \in \mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$ is homomorphic to some triangle-free graph on at most L vertices.*

We remark that although the above result implies that $\chi(G) \leq L(\varepsilon)$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$, our estimates for $L(\varepsilon)$ are by far worse than the bound $K(\varepsilon) = O(1/\varepsilon)$ given by Thomassen in [7].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 05C75, 05C35

* Research partially supported by KBN grant 2 P03A 016 23.

In the proof of [Theorem](#) we shall use the following two simple observations.

Claim 2. *If S_1, S_2 are two independent sets of $G \in \mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$ such that $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $|S_1 \cup S_2| < (2/3 - \varepsilon)n$.*

Proof. It is enough to note that the neighbourhood of a vertex $v \in S_1 \cap S_2$ must be disjoint with $S_1 \cup S_2$. ■

Claim 3. *Each two non-adjacent vertices v_1, v_2 of a maximal triangle-free graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$ share more than $3\varepsilon n$ common neighbours.*

Proof. Since G is maximal triangle-free, v_1 and v_2 have a common neighbour v . But then the neighbourhood of each of v_1 and v_2 must be disjoint from the neighbourhood of v . ■

We shall also need the following result of Brandt [2]. Here and below Q_3 denote the three-dimensional cube, i.e., a graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{4,4}$ by removing a perfect matching.

Lemma 4. *A maximal triangle-free graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ does not contain a copy of Q_3 as an induced subgraph.* ■

Proof of Theorem. Clearly, it is enough to show the assertion only for a maximal triangle-free graphs which belong to $\mathcal{G}_n(\varepsilon)$, where $0 < \varepsilon < 0.01$ and n is large enough. Let $G = (V, E)$ be such a graph. Using Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma [6] (see also monographs of Bollobás [1] and Diestel [3]), we infer that there exists a constant $M_0 = M_0(\varepsilon)$, which depends only on ε but not on G , so that one can partition the vertex set V of G into sets V_0, V_1, \dots, V_M such that

- (i) $\varepsilon^{-10} \leq M \leq M_0$;
- (ii) $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon^{10}n$ and $|V_1| = |V_2| = \dots = |V_M|$;
- (iii) all but at most $\varepsilon^{10} \binom{M}{2}$ pairs $\{V_i, V_j\}$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq M$, are ε^{10} -regular.

Our first goal is to modify this partition, moving some vertices from $\bigcup_{i=1}^M V_i$ to V_0 , to obtain a new partition $\bar{V}_0, \bar{V}_1, \dots, \bar{V}_{\bar{M}}$ for some $\bar{M} \leq M$ such that

1. $\bar{V}_0 \supseteq V_0$ and $|\bar{V}_0| \leq 2\varepsilon^3 n$;
2. $|\bar{V}_1| = |\bar{V}_2| = \dots = |\bar{V}_{\bar{M}}| = \lceil (1 - \varepsilon^3)|V_1| \rceil$, and for each $i = 1, \dots, \bar{M}$, there exists $j = 1, \dots, M$, such that $\bar{V}_i \subseteq V_j$;
3. the sets \bar{V}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \bar{M}$, are independent;

4. for every $1 \leq i < j \leq M$, the pair $\{\bar{V}_i, \bar{V}_j\}$ is joined by either no edges, or by $|\bar{V}_i||\bar{V}_j|$ of them (i.e., its density is either 0 or 1);
5. the graph \bar{G} induced in G by $\bigcup_{i=1}^M \bar{V}_i$ is homomorphic to a maximal triangle-free graph $\bar{H} \in \mathcal{G}_{\bar{M}}(0.9\epsilon)$.

Note first that from (iii) it follows that for all but at most $2\epsilon^5 M$ ‘bad’ sets V_i there exist fewer than $2\epsilon^5 M$ sets V_j such that the pair $\{V_i, V_j\}$ is not ϵ^{10} -regular. We move all the vertices of the bad sets to V_0 , and call the resulting partition $\hat{V}_0, \hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_{\hat{M}}$. Observe also that, if n is large enough, then every ϵ^{10} -regular pair $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$ with density ρ , where $\epsilon^3 \leq \rho \leq 1 - \epsilon^9$, contains an induced copy of Q_3 , while, by Lemma 4, no such copy can appear in G .

Now let us make the following observation.

Claim 5. *Let us assume that for some given i, j , $1 \leq i < j \leq \hat{M}$, at least $5\epsilon^2|\hat{V}_i||\hat{V}_j|$ pairs of vertices $\{w_i, w_j\}$, $w_i \in \hat{V}_i$, $w_j \in \hat{V}_j$, are not edges of G . Then there exists k , $1 \leq k \leq \hat{M}$, such that each of the pairs $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_k\}$ and $\{\hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k\}$ is ϵ^{10} -regular and has density at least $1 - \epsilon^9$.*

Proof. Let us choose greedily $r_0 = \lceil 2\epsilon^2|\hat{V}_i| \rceil$ disjoint pairs $\{w_i^r, w_j^r\}$, such that $w_i^r \in \hat{V}_i$, $w_j^r \in \hat{V}_j$, and the pair $\{w_i^r, w_j^r\}$ is not an edge of G for each $r = 1, 2, \dots, r_0$. Claim 3 implies that for each $r = 1, 2, \dots, r_0$, the vertices w_i^r, w_j^r have a lot of common neighbours, among which at least $3\epsilon n - |\hat{V}_0| - \epsilon^4 n \geq 2\epsilon n$ belong to sets \hat{V}_k such that both pairs $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_k\}$ and $\{\hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k\}$ are ϵ^{10} -regular. Hence, by elementary counting argument, there is a $\ell \neq i, j$, $1 \leq \ell \leq \hat{M}$, such that both pairs $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_\ell\}$ and $\{\hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_\ell\}$ are ϵ^{10} -regular and have density larger than ϵ^3 . Since, as we have observed above, each ϵ^{10} -regular pair of density larger than ϵ^3 has, in fact, density at least $1 - \epsilon^9$, the assertion follows. ■

From Claim 5 it follows that a pair $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$ can be only either very dense or very sparse. Thus, we call a pair $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$ dense if it is joined by more $(1 - 5\epsilon^2)|\hat{V}_i||\hat{V}_j|$ edges; note that for no triple $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k\}$ all three pairs $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$, $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_k\}$, $\{\hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k\}$ are dense, since such a ‘dense triple’ would lead to a triangle in G . If a pair $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$ is not dense, then, by Claim 5, there exists V_k such that both pairs $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_k\}$ and $\{\hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k\}$ are dense and ϵ^{10} -regular, and thus, to avoid a triangle, the sets \hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j are joined by fewer than $\epsilon^9|\hat{V}_i||\hat{V}_j|$ edges; in such a case we say that the pair $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$ is sparse.

Now we shall deal with ‘missing links’, i.e., pairs $\{w_i, w_j\}$ which are not edges of G but $w_i \in \hat{V}_i$, $w_j \in \hat{V}_j$, where the pair $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\}$ is dense. We move such vertices to \hat{V}_0 one by one. Note that, by Claim 3, w_i, w_j share at least $3\epsilon n$ common neighbours, from which at least $2\epsilon n$ do not belong to $\hat{V}_0 \cup \hat{V}_i \cup \hat{V}_j$.

Furthermore, since there are no dense triples $\{\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k\}$, at least εn of the edges adjacent to either w_i or w_j belong to sparse pairs (i.e., they join two sets \hat{V}_r, \hat{V}_s , such that the pair (\hat{V}_r, \hat{V}_s) is sparse). Thus, moving both w_i and w_j to \hat{V}_0 , we decrease the number of edges which belong to sparse pairs by at least εn . Since the total number of such edges is smaller than $\varepsilon^9 n^2$, we shall remove all missing links from the graph in at most $\varepsilon^8 n$ steps. Finally, we ‘balance’ the resulting partition $\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_{\bar{M}}$ by removing all the vertices which belong to sets \tilde{V}_i with fewer than $(1 - \varepsilon^3)|V_1|$ vertices to \tilde{V}_0 , and decrease the size of all the remaining sets \tilde{V}_i to $\lceil (1 - \varepsilon^3)|V_1| \rceil$ by moving some of their vertices to \tilde{V}_0 .

In the partition $\bar{V}_0, \bar{V}_1, \dots, \bar{V}_{\bar{M}}$ obtained in this way all dense pairs become, in fact, complete bipartite graphs. Since each set \bar{V}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{M}$, belongs to more than $\bar{M}/3$ dense pairs, and G is triangle-free, each such \bar{V}_i is independent. Suppose that for some i, j , $1 \leq i < j \leq \bar{M}$, the bipartite subgraph induced by $\{\bar{V}_i, \bar{V}_j\}$ is not complete, i.e., some vertices $w_i \in \bar{V}_i$, $w_j \in \bar{V}_j$, are not adjacent. Since the subgraph \bar{G} induced in G by $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\bar{M}} \bar{V}_i$ contains all but at most $2\varepsilon^3 n$ vertices of G , from Claim 3 it follows that w_i, w_j have a common neighbour $w_k \in \bar{V}_k$ such that both pairs $\{\bar{V}_i, \bar{V}_k\}$, $\{\bar{V}_j, \bar{V}_k\}$, are dense and thus complete. Consequently, since G is triangle-free, the subgraph induced in G by $\bar{V}_i \cup \bar{V}_j$ contains no edges. A similar argument shows that a graph \bar{H} with vertex set $\{\bar{V}_1, \dots, \bar{V}_{\bar{M}}\}$, whose set of edges consists of all dense pairs $\{\bar{V}_i, \bar{V}_j\}$, is a maximal triangle-free graph from $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{M}}(0.9\varepsilon)$. Hence, (1)–(5) hold.

In order to complete the proof we need to study the structure of the subgraph induced in G by the set of the ‘abandoned’ vertices \bar{V}_0 . For each vertex w of \bar{V}_0 denote by $S(w)$ the set of at least $(1/3 + 0.9\varepsilon)n$ neighbours of w in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\bar{M}} \bar{V}_i$, and let $S_H(w)$ be the set of all \bar{V}_i ’s, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{M}$, such that at least one vertex from \bar{V}_i is adjacent to w . Note that $S_H(w)$ is an independent subset of \bar{H} , for every $w \in \bar{V}_0$.

Observe now that if $S_H(w) \cap S_H(w') \neq \emptyset$, then Claim 2 and the fact that $\bar{H} \in \mathcal{G}_{\bar{M}}(0.9\varepsilon)$ imply that $|S_H(w) \cup S_H(w')| < (2/3 - 0.9\varepsilon)\bar{M}$. But then also $|S(w) \cup S(w')| < (2/3 - 0.8\varepsilon)n$, and, since $|S(w)|, |S(w')| \geq (1/3 + 0.9\varepsilon)n$, the vertices w and w' must have a common neighbour and thus be non-adjacent. On the other hand, if $S_H(w) \cap S_H(w') = \emptyset$, then also $S(w) \cap S(w') = \emptyset$, and since the neighbourhoods of w and w' have at most $|\bar{V}_0| < 3\varepsilon n$ elements in common, by Claim 3, w and w' are adjacent. Hence, if we partition the vertices w of \bar{V}_0 according to the ‘index sets’ $S_H(w)$, then all sets of the partition are independent and the structure of the subgraph they induced in G is uniquely determined by the structure of \bar{H} . Consequently, since the number of independent sets in \bar{H} can be crudely bounded above by $2^{\bar{M}} \leq 2^{M_0(\varepsilon)}$, the assertion follows with $L(\varepsilon) = M_0(\varepsilon) + 2^{M_0(\varepsilon)}$. ■

References

- [1] B. BOLLOBÁS: *Modern Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, xiv+394pp.
- [2] S. BRANDT: A 4-colour problem for dense triangle-free graphs, *Discrete Math.* **251** (2002), 33–46.
- [3] R. DIESTEL: *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000, xiv+313pp.
- [4] P. ERDŐS and M. SIMONOVITS: On a valence problem in extremal graph theory, *Discrete Math.* **5** (1972), 323–334.
- [5] G. JIN: Triangle-free graphs with high minimal degrees, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **2** (1993), 479–490.
- [6] E. SZEMERÉDI: On regular partitions of graphs, in: *Problemes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes* (ed. J. Bermond et al.), CNRS Paris, 1978, 399–401.
- [7] C. THOMASSEN: On the chromatic number of triangle-free graphs of large minimum degree, *Combinatorica* **22** (2002), 591–596.

Tomasz Łuczak

Adam Mickiewicz University

Faculty of Mathematics and CS

ul. Umultowska 87

61-614 Poznań

Poland

tomasz@amu.edu.pl